

ANALYSIS ON INDUCED LIGHTNING OF AN EXISTING DISTRIBUTION LINE AND A DISTRIBUTION LINE INCLUDING A NEUTRAL WIRE USING A DOWN SCALED SIMULATION LINE MODEL

Jeom-Sik Kim¹, Do-Young Kim², Yong-Beom Park², Sin-Won Kwon²,
Gyung-Suk Gil³

¹ Daewon Electric Research Institute, Korea, ² Daewon Electric Corporations, Korea,

³ Korea Maritime Univ., Korea

*Email: jpoint@hanmail.net

Abstract: This paper presents the most efficient induced lightning shielding method by changing ground resistances and grounding places in various ways in order to analyze the effect of ground resistance according to grounding places suitable for multi-grounding, as well as the effect of ground resistance according its connection. This study proposed a distribution line including an overhead wire commonly used as a neutral wire and examined the induced lightning shielding effect by proposing a line consisting of an overhead ground wire and a neutral wire in a 22.9 kV transmission line structure. To do so, this study made a simulation line by down scaling the structure of an existing multi-grounding type 22.9 kV distribution line to 50:1 and performed tests on this simulation line. Test results showed that when all electric poles were grounded with 50Ω, the induced voltage was 1,052V in the existing 5W (5 wire) system, and 1,127V in the 4W system. In 5W systems that are required to maintain 50Ω ground resistance every 200m, which is the installation standard for existing distribution lines, the induced voltage was measured to be 1,393V. Therefore, compared to the existing 5W system, the induced voltage increased slightly in the proposed 4W system, but the induced current was reduced. As a result, it is thought that even though an existing line is replaced with a line that includes a neutral wire, system stability can be secured. It can be seen that for every distribution line it is more efficient for the improvement of system stability to ground all poles even though ground resistance is a little higher, rather than to install a ground wire with low ground resistance.

1 INTRODUCTION

Lightning, which occurs due to electric discharge between thunderclouds or between thunderclouds and the earth, generates an abnormal voltage which affects power lines located below thunderclouds [1, 2]. A direct lightning strike refers to when lightning strikes equipment directly, while induced lightning refers to that which strikes around equipment, affecting communications, electrical and other equipment. Compared to direct lightning, induced lightning occurs more frequently and may cause flashover to occur to distribution lines with voltage less than 60kV. Since a distribution line has a lower insulation performance than a transmission line, its insulation materials and equipment may be easily damaged by induced lightning voltage. Studies have been performed in other countries regarding the calculation theory and experiments by observing existing distribution lines [3-6]. However, in Korea, a study was recently performed on the influence of induced lightning according to the size of ground resistance and the number of grounding places taking into consideration the multi-grounding method [7].

Therefore, this study proposed a distribution line that includes an overhead ground wire commonly

used as a neutral wire and analyzed the lightning shielding effect according to the distribution line structure, size of ground resistance and number of grounding places using a downscaled simulation line. To do so, this study made a simulation line to be used for tests by downsampling the structure of an existing multi-grounding type 22.9 kV distribution line to 50:1. Tests were performed on an existing distribution line (5 wires) including an overhead ground wire, power line (3 phases) and neutral wire, as well as a distribution line (4 wires) including a neutral wire commonly used as overhead ground wire and power line (3 phases).

2 PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION LINE INCLUDING A NEUTRAL WIRE COMMONLY USED AS AN OVERHEAD GROUND WIRE

Fig. 1 shows the structure of existing and proposed distribution lines. The existing distribution line consists of overhead ground wire, neutral wire and power line, while the proposed line includes a neutral wire commonly used as an overhead ground wire and is installed at the position of the overhead ground wire [7].




Figure 1: Schematic diagrams of an existing line and a line including a neutral wire

3 CONFIGURATION OF A DOWNSCALED SIMULATION LINE

3.1 Overview of a downscaled simulation line

A downscaled simulation line was introduced to predict the transient phenomenon of a power system. It is used for the analysis of substation electric fields, power systems, etc. Recently, a downscaled simulation line was used together with an analytical technique that can calculate the transient phenomenon more precisely like EMTP [8-11]. The configuration of the distribution line used for this study is divided into an existing line that includes an overhead ground wire and neutral wire separately, as well as a distribution line that includes a neutral wire commonly used as an overhead ground wire. In addition, this study configured a multi neutral grounding type, double circuited extra-high voltage (22.9 kV) distribution lines: a double circuited extra-high voltage existing distribution line including overhead ground wire, power line (3 wires) and neutral wire as well as a

double circuited extra-high voltage distribution line including a neutral wire commonly used as an overhead ground wire and power line (3 wires).

Figs. 2 and 3 show the scale-down ratio and structure of the distribution line used for the tests, respectively.




Figure 2: Size of the down scaled pole simulating an existing distribution line and the interval of the poles




Figure 3: Size of the down scaled pole simulating a proposed distribution line and the interval of the poles

3.2 Configuration of a downscaled simulation line

The downscaled simulation line used for the induced lightning test consists of seven electric poles as shown in Fig. 4. The lightning-induced current generated by an impulse generator is applied to a ground plane through a 10cm gap from an electrode 2m apart from the distribution line. That is, the electromagnetic coupling causes induced voltage to be generated in the distribution line and induced current to flow through the line.

This study measured the waveform using an oscilloscope (TDS 3014, Tektronix, 100MHz, 1.25GS/s) and detected induced voltage (Tek P5100, Tektronix, DC to 250MHz 10ft, 100x, 2500Vpk 1.75ns, 10M Ω /2.75pF) and induced current (TCP 202, Tektronix, 15A peak DC to 50MHz 50A MAX pulse) at the 'A' phase.

The applied current was detected using a high frequency CT (2878, Pearson, 0.1 V/A, 400A MAX 10Arms, 6ns). The impulse generator is recharged up to 360kV and can generate a maximum current of up to 3 kA. In order to prevent the generated arc from being directly discharged to the distribution line, the generator was installed in the shielded space as shown in Fig. 5 so that it received no influence from the external electromagnetic field. In addition, the applied current was adjusted by connecting a ballast resistor, 1,000 Ω , to the output section of the generator.




Figure 4: Pole arrangement of the down scaled simulation line



Figure 5: Impulse generator used for the down scaled simulation test

Impulse was applied maintaining the 10cm gap between the electrode and the ground plane electrode. The applied current, induced voltage of phase 'A' and ground (Gnd), as well as the induced current of phase 'A' were measured through Channel 1 (Ch2), Channel 2 (Ch2) and Channel 3 (Ch3), respectively. In addition, in order to prevent the influence of the reflected wave generated from the ends (Pole #1 and Pole #7) of the simulation line, matching resistors of 480 Ω was installed at

these ends. Fig. 6 shows the configuration of the downscaled simulation line.

Table 1: The reduce rate of the overhead line for the reduced scale model experiment

Classification	Reduce rate	Unit
Distance	50:1	[m]
Resistance	1:1	[Ω]
Current	50:1	[A]
Conductor	50:1	-
Position	50:1	[mm]



Figure 6: Simulation line for the down scaled simulation test (50:1)

4 RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

For the induced lightning test, this study applied current to a ground plane 2m apart directly from Pole #4 through an arc. The conditions of the lightning-induced voltage of the downscaled simulation line are as shown in Table 2. The lightning-induced voltage obtained by measuring the voltage 20 times was applied to the simulation line.

Table 2: Test conditions of a distribution line for a down scaled simulation test

Classification		Resistance	Ground
Case 1	5W_50 Ω _all	50	7 point
Case 2	5W_50 Ω _1R	50	1 point
Case 3	5W_50 Ω _2R	50	2 point
Case 4	5W_100 Ω _all	100	7 point
Case 5	5W_100 Ω _1R	100	1 point
Case 6	5W_100 Ω _2R	100	2 point
Case 7	4W_50 Ω _all	50	7 point
Case 8	4W_50 Ω _1R	50	1 point
Case 9	4W_50 Ω _2R	50	2 point
Case 10	4W_100 Ω _all	100	7 point
Case 11	4W_100 Ω _1R	100	1 point
Case 12	4W_100 Ω _2R	100	2 point

Here, 5W (5 Wires) refers to one overhead ground wire, the three wires of the power line and one neutral wire. This study applied the wiring of the simulation line the same as that of the existing

multi-grounding type distribution line with 3 phases and 4 wires as well as the overhead ground wire. 4W (4 Wires) refers to one neutral wire commonly used as an overhead ground wire and the three wires of the power line. This wiring was proposed for the analysis of the shielding effect of the induced lightning of the distribution line with a neutral wire commonly used as an overhead ground wire [7]. In addition, in order to analyze the influence of the induced lightning according to the size of ground resistance and grounding type, this study performed the tests by connecting a 100 Ω resistance, which is higher than the current standard resistance (50 Ω) for grounding work.

Table 3 shows the average of the major values measured from the 5W and 4W distribution lines when each of the seven poles was grounded. The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 7.4 Ω . When the average applied current was 230A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,052V and 13.8A, respectively. At this time, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 7.8 Ω . When the average applied current was 235A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,127V and 11.7A, respectively. That is, it can be seen that the combined resistance and induced voltage increased slightly in the 4W distribution line depending on the distribution line structure, but showed no significant difference from those of an existing distribution line and that its induced current was reduced.

Table 3: Measured values when grounding down scaled electric poles in 7 locations with ground resistance less than 50 Ω (Case 1, Case 7)

Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_50 Ω _all	230	1,052	13.8	7.4
4W_50 Ω _all	235	1,127	11.7	7.8

Table 4 shows measurement data when a pole is grounded with resistance less than 50 Ω . Grounding was performed at one measuring point and the applied average value obtained by performing the test 20 times under the same conditions. The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 36.8 Ω and when the average applied current was 242A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,166V and 16.4A, respectively. The combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 43.8 Ω and when the average applied current was 242A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,244V and 13.4A, respectively.

Table 4: Measured values when grounding a down scaled electric pole in one place with ground resistance less than 50 Ω (Case 2, Case 8)

Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_50 Ω _1R	242	1,166	16.4	36.8
4W_50 Ω _1R	242	1,244	13.4	43.8

Table 5 shows the measurement data when two poles were grounded with resistance less than 50 Ω with the measuring points not grounded. The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 21.8 Ω and when the average applied current was 236A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,393V and 16.1A, respectively. In this case, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 23.6 Ω and when the average applied current was 242A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,442V and 13.6A, respectively. That is, under the same conditions, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line increased compared to that of the 5W distribution line and its induced voltage was measured slightly higher. However, the difference between the 4W and 5W distribution lines is not great.

Table 5: Measured values when grounding down scaled electric poles in 2 locations with ground resistance less than 50 Ω (Case 3, Case 9)

Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_50 Ω _2R	236	1,393	16.2	21.8
4W_50 Ω _2R	242	1,442	13.6	23.6

Table 6 shows the measurement data when all seven poles were grounded with resistance less than 100 Ω . The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 14.9 Ω and when the average applied current was 236A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,068V and 15.6A, respectively. Under the same conditions, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 14.4 Ω and when the average applied current was 236A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,229V and 14.4A, respectively. That is, it can be seen that the induced voltage measured slightly higher at the 4W distribution line compared to that of the 5W distribution line, but that the induced current was reduced. In addition, it is thought to be better to ground all poles than to ground some poles with resistance less than 50 Ω even though ground resistance is slightly higher.

Table 6: Measured values when grounding down scaled electric poles in 7 locations with ground resistance less than 100Ω (Case 4, Case 10)

Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_100Ω_all	236	1,068	15.6	14.9
4W_100Ω_all	236	1,229	12.6	14.4

Table 7 shows the measurement data when one pole was grounded with resistance less than 100Ω. Grounding was performed at one measuring point. The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 56Ω and when the average applied current was 238A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,210V and 12.6A, respectively. Under the same conditions, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 73.5Ω. When the average applied current was 239A, the average induced voltage and induced current were 1,345V and 12.6A, respectively. It can be seen that the induced voltage of the 4W distribution line increased, but the induced current was reduced compared to the 5W distribution line.

Table 7: Measured values when grounding a down scaled electric pole in one place with ground resistance less than 100Ω (Case 5, Case 11)


Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_100Ω_1R	238	1,210	15.7	56.0
4W_100Ω_1R	238	1,345	12.6	73.5

Table 8 shows the measurement data when two poles were grounded with resistance less than 100Ω and with the measuring points not grounded. The combined resistance of the 5W distribution line was 36.4Ω and when the average applied current was 233A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,386V and 14.9A. In this case, the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line was 43Ω and when the average applied current was 232A, the average induced voltage and induced current of the 'A' phase were 1,440V and 12.7A, respectively. It can be seen that the induced voltage increased slightly as the combined resistance of the 4W distribution line increased slightly, but the induced current was reduced compared to the 5W distribution line.

Table 8: Measured values when grounding down scaled electric poles in 2 locations with ground resistance less than 100Ω (Case 6, Case 12)

Case	Current [A]	Induced Voltage [V]	Induced Current [A]	Synthesis Resistance [Ω]
5W_100Ω_2R	233	1,386	14.9	36.4
4W_100Ω_2R	232	1,440	12.7	43.0

Fig. 7 shows the data measured from the downscaled simulation model. It is thought that there was a difference in the induced voltage and current between the 4W and 5W distribution lines since a slight difference occurred to the impedance depending on the configuration of the distribution line. It was found that the voltage induced by the induced lightning changed depending on whether the measuring points were grounded or not and the grounding places rather than the size of the ground resistance. That is, when all seven poles were grounded, the induced voltage was measured to be the lowest regardless of the size of the ground resistance.

**Figure 7:** Induced voltage and current measured through the down scaled simulation test

5 CONCLUSION

The following result was obtained from the simulation test analysis performed by downscaling the structure of the existing 22.9 kV distribution line with multi-grounded neutral wire in order to analyze the induced lightning shielding effect according to the ground resistance size and the number of grounding places as well as the influence of the induced lightning according to the line structure.

It can be seen that the combined resistance and induced voltage of the 4W distribution line increased slightly depending on the line structure, but they did not show a significant difference from those of the existing line and that the induced

current was reduced. Under the same conditions, when the number of grounding places was reduced, the combined resistance increased and the induced voltage and current also tended to increase.

It can be seen that it is advantageous in the aspect of distribution line stability to ground all poles even though it is important to maintain the ground resistance at a low level. These characteristics were shown in the same pattern in the 5W and 4W distribution lines. It was found that even though the ground resistance is high, if there are numerous grounding places, the induced voltage was low compared to the case where ground resistance is low. In addition, the induced voltage measured was lower in the 4W distribution line than the 5W line. It is thought that, based on this, it will be possible to establish a more stable system at a distribution line where neutral wire is commonly used as an overhead ground line.

6 REFERENCES

- [1] Joseph Vardi and Benjamin Avi-Itzhak, *Electric Energy Generation; Economics, Reliability and Rates*: MIT, p.75-94, 1981
- [2] J.W. Woo, J.S Kwak and J.D.Moon, "A study on the Site Survey and Detection Efficiency for Kepco Lightning Detection and Information Network", *KIEE Trans. Power Systems*, vol.55C, no.11, pp.532-537, 2006.
- [3] Matsuyama Akira, "Prevention of Transmission Line Lightning Damage", *Electrical Eng*, 1997
- [4] Miyazaki Akira, "Distribution Measures by lightning", *CRIEPI*, Japan, 2006.
- [5] M. Ishii, "Multistory Transmission Tower Model for Lightning Lurge Analysis", *IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery*, Vol. 6, No.3, pp. 1327–1335, 1991.
- [6] S. Yokoyama, "Calculation of lightning-induced voltages on overhead multiconductor systems", *IEEE Trans. on Power Apparatus Systems*, Vol. PAS-103, No.1, Jan. 1984.
- [7] S. Yokoyama, "Analog Simulation of Lightning Induced Voltages and Its Application fir Analysis of Overhead-Ground-Wire Effects", *Proc. IEE*, Vol. 132, part C, pp. 208-216, 1985
- [8] C. F. Wagner and G. D McCann, "Induced Voltage on Transmission Lines", *AIEE Trans*, Vol. 61, pp.916-930, 1942
- [9] S. Rusck, "Induced Lightning Over-voltages on Power-Transmission Lines with Special Reference to the Over-Voltage Protection of Low Voltage Networks", *Trans. Royal Institute of Technology*, Stockholm, Sweden, 1958.
- [10] P. Chowduri, "Voltages Surges Induced on Overhead Lines by Lightning Strokes", *Proc. IEE*, Vol.116, pp.561-565, 1969
- [11] P. Chowduri, "Lightning-Induced Voltages on Multiconductor Overhead Lines", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 5, No.2, pp.658-667, 1990